

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 ROBERT HOLMES, III,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 DREESSEN, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. 2:13-cv-01166-GMN-GWF

ORDER


Motion to Enlarge Time to File Answer
or Other Responsive Pleading (#14)

14 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to File
15 Answer or Other Responsive Pleading (#14) filed on May 12, 2014.

16 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 6(b), when an act may or must be
17 done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time. Defendants' Answer
18 or other responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint (#7) was due on May 13, 2013. Plaintiff,
19 however, filed an Amended Complaint (#13) on April 25, 2014. Defendants' now move this court
20 for a screening order on Plaintiff's Amended Complaint clarifying the issue as to which complaint
21 they should respond. Plaintiff's also request a date within which to respond. Defendants
22 substantially establish good cause for an extension. Accordingly,

23 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to File
24 Answer or Other Responsive Pleading (#14) is **granted**. The Court will screen Plaintiff's
25 Amended Complaint (#13) and set a time within which Defendants have to respond.

26 DATED this 19th day of May, 2014.

27
28 

GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge